

Comparative value of tumour grade, hormonal receptors, Ki-67, HER-2 and topoisomerase II alpha status as predictive markers in breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy

T. Petit^{a,*}, M. Wilt^b, M. Velten^c, R. Millon^d, J.-F. Rodier^e, C. Borel^a,
R. Mors^a, P. Haegelé^a, M. Eber^d, J.-P. Ghnassia^b

^aDepartment of Medical Oncology, Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer Paul Strauss,
3 rue de la Porte de l'Hôpital, 67065 Strasbourg Cedex, France

^bDepartment of Pathology, Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer Paul Strauss, Strasbourg, France

^cDepartment of Biostatistics, Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer Paul Strauss, Strasbourg, France

^dDepartment of Molecular Biology, Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer Paul Strauss, Strasbourg, France

^eDepartment of Surgical Oncology, Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer Paul Strauss, Strasbourg, France

Received 19 May 2003; received in revised form 24 June 2003; accepted 31 July 2003

Abstract

The aim of this study was to evaluate the predictive value of five different biological factors in breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy: (1) tumour grade scored according to the Elston–Ellis classification, (2) hormonal receptor (HR) status; (3) tumour cell proliferation evaluated by Ki-67 staining, (4) HER-2 and topoisomerase II alpha (TopoII α) expression evaluated by immunohistochemistry (IHC), (5) *HER-2* and *TopoII α* amplification evaluated by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). 119 patients with operable breast cancer were treated with six cycles of FEC (100 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) 500 mg/m², Epirubicin 100 mg/m², Cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m²). Tumour response was assessed clinically and by computed tomography (CT) scan, then by pathological assessment. The clinical overall response (OR) was 80%, with 19% of complete responders (CR). The radiological OR was 71%, with 16% of CR. A pathological CR was demonstrated in 13% of the patients according to the Sataloff classification. In the multivariate analysis, the absence of HR expression and Ki-67 $\geq 20\%$ were predictive for a clinical CR. A high tumour grade was predictive for a pathological CR. Overexpression or amplification of *HER2* or *TopoII α* were not predictive of response.

© 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Breast cancer; Neoadjuvant chemotherapy; Predictive factors

1. Introduction

As the clinical and pathological responses of breast cancer to neoadjuvant chemotherapy are short-term markers for a long-term outcome [1,2], it is important to identify accurately biological factors that may predict the response to neoadjuvant therapy. These factors should identify patients who will benefit most from

treatment, and spare patients from the toxicity of treatment that is known to be ineffective. These factors could also provide mechanistic insights into understanding tumour biology. Recent retrospective studies have suggested a correlation between HER2 overexpression and a benefit from anthracycline therapy [3,4], but a biological mechanism linking HER2 overexpression to anthracycline sensitivity is lacking. Topoisomerase II alpha (*TopoII α*) is localised close to *HER2* on chromosome 17 q12-q21 and the enzyme is a molecular target for anthracyclines [5]. Because of the physical proximity to *HER2*, *TopoII α* is frequently co-amplified with *HER2* in breast cancer [6]. This co-amplification could

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33-3-8825-2424; fax: +33-3-8825-2448.

E-mail address: tpetit@strasbourg.fnclcc.fr (T. Petit).

provide a plausible explanation for the link between HER2 overexpression and anthracycline response.

In the present study, the role of tumour grade, hormonal receptors (HR), Ki-67, HER-2 and TopoII α status as predictive markers of response to neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy was evaluated in primary tumour specimens from 119 patients with breast carcinomas.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Patient and tumour characteristics

All patients with operable breast cancer and treated between January 2000 and December 2001 at the Paul Strauss Center with neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy were included in this retrospective study. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy was indicated when the largest tumour diameter was equal to or larger than 20 mm. Patients with a primary inflammatory carcinoma or with a long clinical history of neglected tumour in breast were excluded. A microbiopsy was performed before the chemotherapy treatment to allow a pathological diagnosis and evaluation of biological parameters. All tumours were adenocarcinomas. Patients received six cycles of an anthracycline-based therapy (5-fluorouracil (5-FU) 500 mg/m², epirubicin 100 mg/m², cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m², on day 1 of a 21-day cycle). No patient received tamoxifen as part of her neoadjuvant treatment. Patients were scheduled to undergo surgery 4 weeks after the sixth cycle with tumour excision and axillary node dissection. Table 1 shows the patient and tumour characteristics.

2.2. Assessment response

Assessment of the tumour response was undertaken by bidimensional clinical and computed tomography (CT) measurements both prior to chemotherapy treatment and after six cycles, but before surgery. The clinical baseline and preoperative measurements were obtained with a caliper by the same medical oncologist. Clinical and radiological responses were recorded according to the International Union Against Cancer

(UICC) criteria: a complete response (CR) indicating the disappearance of the primary tumour; a partial response (PR) indicating a reduction of $\geq 50\%$; stable disease (SD) indicating a reduction of $<50\%$ or increase in size of $<25\%$; progressive disease (PD) indicating an increase in size of $\geq 25\%$.

The pathological CR was evaluated by tumour excision and axillary node resection after six cycles of chemotherapy. Two experienced breast pathologists looked at all samples. Two grading classifications were utilised to evaluate the tumour response after chemotherapy. In the Chevallier classification, the absence of invasive tumour cells, or persistence of *in situ* disease, and negative axillary lymph nodes define a pathological CR [7]. In the Sataloff classification, we have similar criteria for a pathological CR, but also observe the persistence of small clusters of widely dispersed cells in these cases [8].

2.3. Evaluation of pathological factors

Five different pathological factors were determined on the initial microbiopsy and studied as predictive factors in a single laboratory (Centre Paul Strauss): Tumour grade, tumour cell proliferation evaluated by Ki-67 staining [9], HR, HER2 and TopoII α status (Table 2). All slides were reviewed by the two pathologists without knowledge of the treatment response.

The tumour grade was scored according to the Elston–Ellis classification on a scale of 1–3 [10].

The four other pathological factors were evaluated by immunohistochemistry (IHC). Reagents used for IHC studies are listed in Table 3. 3- μ m sections from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues were cut and mounted on positively-charged slides. Tissue sections were deparaffinised and rehydrated in graded alcohol. The slides were subjected to heat-induced epitope retrieval by immersing them in 0.01 M boiling citrate buffer (pH 6) in a pressure cooker for 3 min, followed by a 20-min cooling-off period. Slides were then

Table 1
Patient and tumour characteristics

| | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Number of patients | 119 |
| Median age (range) (years) | 52 (29–71) |
| Median largest tumour diameter (range) (mm) | 45 (20–100) |
| Clinically-positive axillary nodes | 49% |
| Tumour type (%) | |
| Invasive ductal | 77 |
| Invasive lobular | 13 |
| Mucinous | 1 |
| Other | 9 |

Table 2
Pathological variables

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Tumour grade | |
| 1 | 32 |
| 2 | 49 |
| 3 | 19 |
| HER2 status | |
| 0-1 | 60 |
| 2 | 18 |
| 3 | 22 |
| HR status | |
| Positive | 75 |
| Negative | 25 |
| Ki-67-median score (range) | 19 (1–90) |
| TopoII α -median score (range) | 9 (1–47) |

HR, hormone receptor.

incubated overnight with the monoclonal antibody. The antibody was localised using the Dako detection system according to the manufacturer's instructions. Diaminobenzidine (Sigma Inc.) was used to visualise antibody binding. Negative and positive control slides were included with each assay. Ki-67 staining equal to or more than 20% was considered as high level [9]. Tumours were considered HR-positive if either or both oestrogen (ER) and progesterone receptors (PR) were positive in $\geq 10\%$ of tumour cells. HER2 status was scored on a scale of 0–3+ according to the Dako scoring system. A score of 2+ or 3+ was considered as overexpression. TopoII α status was scored quantitatively and, based on prior studies, staining equal to or more than 10% was considered as overexpression [11].

The number of *HER2* and *TopoII α* gene copies was also evaluated using a real-time polymerase (PCR) method with the LightCycler™ system (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). DNA was obtained from 5×18µm sections of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded blocks using a QIAamp DNA mini-kit (QIAGEN), with a modified version of protocol (WilKam, QiAgenNews). *HER2* amplification was measured with the LightCycler–Her2 DNA Quantification Kit (Cat. No. 3 113 922, Roche, France), according to the supplier's instructions, in which a 112-bp fragment of the *HER2* gene and a 133-bp fragment of the reference gene are simultaneously amplified by the use of specific sets of primers. Both genes are localised on chromosome 17. Specific pairs of hybridisation probes (labelled with fluorescein at the 3' end for both genes and at the 5' end with LightCycler-Red 705 for *HER2* and LightCycler-Red 640 for the reference gene) allowed the differential fluorescent detection of the amplified DNA. This was observed after light excitation, due to the FRET (fluorescence energy transfer) between fluorescein and the fluorophors, which occurred only when the probes were hybridised on the template DNA and were therefore in close proximity. The amount of measured fluorescence was proportional to the increasing amount of DNA generated during the PCR process). The calculation of the relative amounts of the *HER2* gene was performed by the LightCycler Relative Quantification Software. The final results were expressed as a ratio between the

number of *HER2* gene copies and number of reference gene copies. A ratio < 2 was assumed to be negative for *HER2* amplification, a ratio ≥ 2 was assumed to be positive for *HER2* amplification.

TopoII α amplification was measured using the Master SYBR green I dye kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) with primers: TOP2A-3F 5'-CAGCGTGTGAGCCTGAATG-3' and TOP2A-3R 5'-TTGCAGGACCACCAGTACC-3'. An optimal concentration of 4 mM of MgCl₂ was used.

The reaction mixture was preheated at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by five cycles at 98° for 10 s, 62 °C for 10 s, 72 °C for 10 s and 35 cycles at 95° for 10 s, 62 °C for 10 s, 72 °C for 10 s. As a reference gene, beta-globin was measured using the LightCycler-control Kit DNA (Cat No. 2 158 833 Roche Molecular Biochemicals). All analyses were performed in at least two independent experiments. For each experimental sample, the amount of target and reference were determined from the standard curve included in the LightCycler-control Kit DNA. Then the target amount was divided by the reference amount to obtain a normalised *TopoII α* value. A ratio ≥ 2 was assumed to be positive for *TopoII α* amplification.

2.4. Statistical analysis

To study the agreement between pathological CR and the clinical and radiological CR, Cohen's kappa coefficient was used [12]. If there is complete agreement, kappa is equal to 1. Kappa values close to or less than 0 show poor agreement (no better than by chance).

The effect of the different biological factors on the response rate to neoadjuvant chemotherapy was assessed by means of the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test in comparisons with small numbers. $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate statistical significance, and all resulting P were two-tailed. To identify variables independently related to the response, a multivariate analysis using a logistic regression model was performed. All variables with a P value less than 0.20 in the univariate analysis were included in a stepwise forward logistic regression model, allowing for interaction. P values are presented for the final model. 'Goodness of fit' was assessed by the Hosmer–Lemeshow test.

The statistical analysis was performed using BMDP (BMDP Statistical Software, Inc., Los Angeles, CA, USA).

3. Results

119 patients with operable breast cancer were treated with neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy at the Paul Strauss Cancer Center between January 2000 and December 2001 (Table 1). All breast cancers were stage IC–IIIA invasive adenocarcinomas.

Table 3
Reagents used for the IHC studies

| | Clone | Dilution | Manufacturer |
|---------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| Tumour cell proliferation | Ki-67/MiB1 | 1/50 | Dako |
| ER | 6F11 | 1/50 | Dako |
| PR | PGR 312 | 1/200 | Dako |
| HER2 | CB 11 | 1/50 | Novocastra |
| TopoII α | 3F6 | 1/50 | Novocastra |

IHC, immunohistochemistry; ER, oestrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor.

3.1. Tumour response to neoadjuvant treatment

As assessed by the physical examination, 61% of the patients had a PR and 19% had a CR, allowing a clinical overall response (OR) of 80%. There was no PD. Following CT measurement, the OR was 71% (16% CR and 55% PR). No PD was observed in the radiological measurements.

After the six cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, lumpectomy was achieved in 72% of the patients. The median number of excised axillary lymph nodes was 11. According to the Chevallier classification, the pathological CR rate was 9%. According to the Sataloff classification, this pathological CR rate was 13%.

We studied the agreement of clinical and radiological CR with the pathological CR. The kappa coefficient between clinical CR and pathological CR was 0.42 ($P=1\times 10^{-6}$). The kappa coefficient between radiological CR and pathological CR was 0.28 ($P=6\times 10^{-3}$). With a higher kappa value, clinical response seemed to be superior to radiological response in predicting the pathological CR in the present study. However, the difference was not statistically significant.

3.2. Predictive value of tumour grade, HR, Ki-67, HER2 and TopoII α evaluated by IHC

99 patients were assessable for these five biological variables. These variables were not evaluated in 20 patients because of a lack of tumour samples. In the univariate analysis (Table 4), high tumour grade, high tumour cell proliferation (Ki-67 $\geq 20\%$) and absence of HR expression were predictive of a clinical CR ($P=0.004$, 0.002, 0.003, respectively). These three biological factors were also predictive of a pathological CR according to the Sataloff classification, ($P=0.0001$, 0.01, 0.008, respectively). In our series, the pathological CR rate (Sataloff) was 42% for grade 3 tumours compared with 10% for grade 2 tumours and 0% for grade 1 tumours. The pathological CR rate (Sataloff) was 27% for negative HR tumours, compared with 8% for positive HR tumours. The pathological CR rate (Sataloff) was 23% for highly proliferative tumours, compared with 4% for tumours with a low cell proliferation.

Overexpression of HER2 and TopoII α (with a cut-off at 10%) was not predictive of response to anthracycline-based chemotherapy. The pathological CR rate (Sataloff) was 15% for tumours overexpressing HER2 compared with 8% for tumours without overexpressed HER2. The pathological CR rate (Sataloff) was 14% for tumours overexpressing TopoII α compared with 10% for tumours without overexpressed TopoII α .

We also studied TopoII α as a quantitative variable, using the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test. Increased TopoII α expression was related to a clinical and radiological CR ($P=0.01$ and 0.05, respectively). Median TopoII α expression level was higher when a pathological CR (Sataloff) was achieved than when it was not, but the difference was not statistically significant ($P=0.07$).

In multivariate analysis, using a logistic regression model (Table 5), Ki-67 and HR status were identified as independent variables in predicting a clinical complete response ('goodness of fit': $P=0.54$). Tumour grade was identified as the only independent variable to predict a pathological CR according to the Sataloff classification ('goodness of fit': $P=0.98$). In this model, 64% of patients with a negative HR tumour and a high tumour cell proliferation had a clinical CR, and 42% of patients with a grade 3 tumour had a pathological CR.

3.3. Predictive value of HER2 and TopoII α evaluated by real-time PCR

Evaluation of the amplification of *HER2* and *TopoII α* by real-time PCR was performed on 99 tumour samples and results were obtained in 88 and 82 tumour samples, respectively. Amplification of *HER2* gene was demonstrated in 88% of HER2 3+ tumours, 25% of HER2 2+ tumours and 5% of HER2 0-1+ tumours. Amplification of the *TopoII α* gene was demonstrated in 24% of tumours with a high TopoII α expression and in 26% of tumours with a low TopoII α expression. *HER2* status and *TopoII α* status, assessed by quantitative PCR, were not related to either the clinical or radiological tumour response or to the pathological response (Table 6).

Table 4
Univariate analysis: *P* values

| | Clinical response | | Radiological response | | Pathological CR | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------|------|-----------------|----------|
| | OR | CR | OR | CR | Chevallier | Sataloff |
| Tumour grade | 0.13 | 0.004 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.008 | 0.0001 |
| Ki-67 | 0.64 | 0.002 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| HR | 0.70 | 0.003 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 0.008 |
| HER2 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 0.72 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.38 |
| TopoII α | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 0.56 |

OR, overall response; CR, complete response.

Table 5
Multivariate analysis: *P* values

| | Tumour grade | HR | Ki-67 |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Clinical CR | 0.44 | 0.009 | 0.003 |
| Pathological CR (Sataloff) | 0.0001 | 0.32 | 0.49 |

CR, complete response.

Table 6
HER2 and *TopoIIα* amplification, univariate analysis: *P* values

| | Clinical CR | Radiological CR | Pathological CR (Sataloff) |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| <i>HER2</i> | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.99 |
| <i>TopoIIα</i> | 0.75 | 0.22 | 0.87 |

CR, complete response.

4. Discussion

In the present study, 119 patients with stage IC to IIIA invasive adenocarcinomas were treated with six cycles of an anthracycline-based therapy. The clinical OR was 80%, with 19% of CR. The radiological OR was 71%, with 16% of CR. No PD was observed during the chemotherapy. After surgery, a pathological CR was demonstrated in 9% of the patients according to the Chevallier classification and in 13% of the patients according to the Sataloff classification. With a higher kappa value, clinical response seemed to be superior to the radiological response evaluated by CT to predict the pathological CR. In univariate analysis, high tumour grade, Ki-67 $\geq 20\%$, and absence of HR expression were predictive factors for a clinical CR and pathological CR according to the Sataloff classification. Increased *TopoIIα* expression studied as a quantitative variable was related to a clinical CR ($P=0.01$). In multivariate analysis, absence of HR expression and Ki-67 $\geq 20\%$ were predictive for a clinical CR, high tumour grade was predictive for a pathological CR according to the Sataloff classification. *HER2* expression was not predictive of response. Amplification of *HER2* or *TopoIIα* was not predictive of the response to anthracycline-based chemotherapy.

The superiority of a physical examination compared with radiology to predict pathological findings on the primary breast tumour, although not formally demonstrated in our study, possibly due to a lack of statistical power, has been reported in previous studies. Herrada and colleagues [13] evaluated 100 patients to correlate physical examination, sonographic and mammographic measurements of breast tumours with pathological findings after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Physical examination provided the best correlation with pathology findings on the primary tumour, and sonography showed the best correlation for the lymph nodes. Lluch and colleagues [14] evaluated 60 patients to study the role of physical examination and CT in defining the

tumour response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Physical examination provided the best assessment of the primary breast tumour, whereas CT was the best non-invasive method to detect axillary node involvement.

Several studies have already assessed the predictive value of tumour grade, HR status, and tumour cell proliferation in predicting the response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. High-grade tumours generally show a significantly higher percentage of responders compared with low-grade tumours [15,16]. Negative HR status [17,18] and a high tumour cell proliferation [19,20] are also correlated with chemosensitivity. In the present study, 64% of patients with a negative HR tumour and a high tumour cell proliferation had a clinical CR, compared with 3% for tumours with the opposite characteristics. A pathological CR was demonstrated in 42% of patients with grade 3 tumours compared with 0% for those with grade 1 tumours.

Amplification and overexpression of *HER2* are strongly linked in breast cancer [21,22]. The overall concordance of IHC with the CB11 antibody with quantitative PCR was also 88% in a prospective study on 254 breast cancers [23]. Our data support the hypothesis that *TopoIIα* could be amplified simultaneously with *HER2*, because they are located close to one another on chromosome 17. In the present study, 90% (18/20) of tumours with *TopoIIα* amplification also had a *HER2* amplification. There was no relationship between *TopoIIα* amplification and expression in our study. The fact that *TopoIIα* is a cell-cycle-regulated protein might explain these results [24]. *TopoIIα* expression can be considered as a marker of cell proliferation [25]. In the present study, Ki-67 and *TopoIIα* expressions were strongly correlated ($P=4 \times 10^{-9}$, Spearman rank correlation coefficient = 0.62).

Most data on *HER2* expression and anthracycline sensitivity have been derived from adjuvant clinical trials. The addition or dose increase of doxorubicin in the adjuvant treatment significantly improved the outcome of patients with *HER2*– tumours, but not of patients with *HER2*– tumours in three retrospective studies [26–28]. Data in the neoadjuvant setting are conflicting. Some studies have failed to show any predictive value for *HER2* expression [17,29,30]. A recent study from the MD Anderson Cancer centre did not find any correlation between the clinical and pathological response to neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy and *HER2* expression in 97 patients [31]. Similarly, *HER2* status did not predict response to neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy in 97 patients in a Netherlands Cancer Institute study [32]. In contrast, Willsher and colleagues found a correlation between *HER2* expression and resistance to mitoxantrone-based chemotherapy [33]. Geisler and colleagues demonstrated that *HER2* overexpression predicted resistance to a low-dose anthracycline chemotherapy

[34]. We recently showed in the neoadjuvant setting that low-dose anthracycline and HER-2 overexpression predicted a poor OR, low- or high-dose anthracycline and the absence of HER-2 overexpression predicted an intermediate OR, and high-dose anthracycline and HER-2 overexpression predicted a high OR [35]. In the present study, the high dose of anthracycline (epirubicin 100 mg/m²) used for all patients could explain the absence of a predictive value of HER2 overexpression or amplification.

Two studies have evaluated the predictive value of *HER2* and *TopoIIα* amplification, in 35 and 67 patients, respectively, treated with an anthracycline-based chemotherapy (doxorubicin 50 mg/m²) [36,37]. In the first study, *HER2* and *TopoIIα* amplification evaluated by *in situ* hybridisation were significantly associated with an increased response rate [36]. In the second study, response to chemotherapy was increased in cases with a coamplification of *HER2* and *TopoIIα* evaluated by a chromogenic *in situ* hybridisation [37].

In conclusion, *HER2* or *TopoIIα* were not predictive markers of response to neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy in breast cancer patients in the present study. Patients with a negative HR status, high grade breast tumours with a high tumour cell proliferation (Ki-67 ≥ 20%) were much more likely to respond to neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy than patients with the opposite characteristics. Nevertheless, the predictive value of these markers for an individual patient is limited. Microarray technology should allow, in the future, the individual adjustment of treatment and selection of patients who would benefit most from neoadjuvant chemotherapy [38].

References

- Pierga JY, Mouret E, Laurence V, et al. Prognostic factors for survival after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in operable breast cancer. The role of clinical response. *Eur J Cancer* 2003, **39**, 1089–1096.
- Chollet P, Amat S, Cure H, et al. Prognostic significance of a complete pathological response after induction chemotherapy in operable breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2002, **86**, 1041–1046.
- Piccart MJ, Di Leo A, Hamilton A. *HER2*: a predictive factor ready to use in the daily management of breast cancer patients? *Eur J Cancer* 2000, **36**, 1755–1761.
- Yamauchi H, Stearns V, Hayes DF. When is a tumor marker ready for prime time? A case study of c-erbB-2 as a predictive factor in breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2001, **19**, 2334–2356.
- Liu LF. DNA topoisomerase poisons as anti-tumor drugs. *Ann Rev Biochem* 1989, **58**, 351–375.
- Jarvinen TA, Tanner M, Rantanen V, et al. Amplification and deletion of topoisomerase II associate with *HER-2* amplification and affect sensitivity to topoisomerase II inhibitor doxorubicin in breast cancer. *Am J Pathol* 2000, **156**, 839–847.
- Chevallier B, Roche H, Olivier JP, Chollet P, Hurloup P. Inflammatory breast cancer. Pilot study of intensive induction chemotherapy (FEC-HD) results in a high histologic response rate. *Am J Clin Oncol* 1993, **16**, 223–228.
- Sataloff DM, Mason BA, Prestipino AJ, Seinige UL, Lieber CP, Baloch Z. Pathologic response to induction chemotherapy in locally advanced carcinoma of the breast: a determinant of outcome. *J Am Coll Surg* 1995, **180**, 297–306.
- Gerdes J, Lemke H, Baisch H, Wacker HH, Schwab U, Stein H. Cell cycle analysis of a cell proliferation-associated human nuclear antigen defined by the monoclonal antibody Ki-67. *J Immunol* 1984, **133**, 1710.
- Elston CW, Ellis JO. Pathological prognostic factors in breast cancer. The value of histological grade in breast cancer: experience from a large study with long-term follow-up. *Histopathology* 1991, **19**, 403–410.
- Di Leo A, Larsimont D, Gancberg D, et al. *HER-2* and topoisomerase IIα as predictive markers in a population of node-positive breast cancer patients randomly treated with adjuvant CMF or epirubicin plus cyclophosphamide. *Ann Oncol* 2001, **12**, 1081–1089.
- Fleiss JL. *Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions*. New York, John Wiley & Sons, 1981.
- Herrada J, Iyer RB, Atkinson EN, Sneige N, Buzdar AU, Hortobagyi ON. Relative value of physical examination, mammography, and breast sonography in evaluating the size of the primary tumor and regional lymph node metastases in women receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy for locally advanced breast carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 1997, **3**, 1565–1569.
- Lluch A, Cervantes A, Pardo JD, et al. Assessment of primary tumor and axillary involvement in breast cancer after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. A comparison of clinical examination, mammography, ultrasonography and CT-scan. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1995, **14**, 135 (abstr).
- Amat S, Penault-Llorca F, Cure H, et al. Scarf-Bloom-Richardson (SBR) grading: a pleiotropic marker of chemosensitivity in invasive ductal breast carcinomas treated by neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Int J Oncol* 2002, **20**, 791–796.
- Aas T, Geisler S, Eide GE, et al. Predictive value of tumour cell proliferation in locally advanced breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Eur J Cancer* 2003, **39**, 438–446.
- MacGrogan G, Mauriac L, Durand M, et al. Primary chemotherapy in breast invasive carcinoma: predictive value of the immunohistochemical detection of hormonal receptors, p53, c-*HER-2*, MiB1, p52, and GSTπ. *Br J Cancer* 1996, **74**, 1458–1465.
- Daidone MG, Veneroni S, Benini E, et al. Biological markers as indicators of response to primary and adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* 1999, **84**, 580–586.
- Remvikos Y, Jouve M, Beuzeboc P, Viehl P, Magdelenat H, Pouillart P. Cell cycle modifications of breast cancers during neoadjuvant chemotherapy: a flow cytometry study on fine needle aspirates. *Eur J Cancer* 1993, **29A**, 1843–1848.
- Colleoni M, Orvieto E, Nole F, et al. Prediction of response to primary chemotherapy for operable breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1999, **35**, 574–579.
- Couturier J, Vincent-Salomon A, Nicolas A, et al. Strong correlation between results of fluorescent *in situ* hybridization and immunohistochemistry for the assessment of *HER-2* gene status in breast cancer. *Mod Pathol* 2000, **13**, 1238–1243.
- Pauletti O, Dandekar S, Rong H, et al. Assessment of methods tissue-based detection of *HER-2/neu* alteration in breast cancer: a direct comparison of fluorescence *in situ* hybridization and immunohistochemistry. *J Clin Oncol* 2000, **18**, 3651–3664.
- O'Malley FP, Parkes R, Latta E, et al. Comparison of *HER2/neu* status assessed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction and immunohistochemistry. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2001, **115**, 504–511.
- Keliner U, Rudolph P, Parwaresch R. Human DNA-topoisomerase: diagnostic and therapeutic implications for cancer. *Onkologie* 2000, **23**, 424–430.
- Lynch BJ, Guinee Jr DG, Holden JA. Human DNA topoisomerase II α: a new marker of cell proliferation in invasive breast cancer. *Hum Pathol* 1997, **28**, 1180–1188.

26. Thor AD, Berry DA, Budman DR, et al. ErbB-2, p53, and efficacy of adjuvant therapy in lymph node-positive breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998, **90**, 1346–1360.
27. Paik S, Bryant J, Park C, et al. ErbB-2 and response to doxorubicin in patients with axillary lymph node-positive, hormone receptor-negative breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998, **90**, 1320–1327.
28. Ravdin PM, Green S, Albain KS, et al. Initial report of the SWOG biological correlative study of c-erbB-2 expression as a predictor of outcome in a trial comparing adjuvant CAF T with tamoxifen alone. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1998, **17**, 97a (abstr).
29. Vargas-Roig LM, Gago FE, Tello O, Martin de Civetta MT, Ciocca DR. ErbB-2 protein and drug resistance in breast cancer patients treated with induction chemotherapy. *Int J Cancer* 1999, **84**, 129–134.
30. Vincent-Salomon A, Carton M, Freneaux P, et al. ErbB-2 overexpression in breast carcinomas: no positive correlation with complete pathological response to preoperative high-dose anthracycline-based chemotherapy. *Eur J Cancer* 2000, **36**, 586–591.
31. Zhang F, Yang Y, Smith I, et al. Correlation between HER2 expression and response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide in patients with breast carcinoma. *Cancer* 2003, **97**, 1758–1765.
32. Faneyte IF, Schrama JO, Peterse JL, Remijnse PL, Rodenhuis S, Van de Vijver MJ. Breast cancer response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy: predictive markers and relation with outcome. *Br J Cancer* 2003, **88**, 406–412.
33. Willsher PC, Pinder SE, Gee JM, et al. C-erbB-2 expression predicts response to preoperative chemotherapy for locally advanced breast cancer. *Anticancer Res* 1998, **18**, 3695–3698.
34. Geisler S, Lonning PE, Aas T, et al. Influence of p53 gene alterations and c-erbB-2 expression on the response to treatment with doxorubicin in locally advanced breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 2001, **61**, 2505–2512.
35. Petit T, Borel C, Ghnassia JP, et al. Chemotherapy response of breast cancer depends on HER-2 status and anthracycline dose intensity in the neoadjuvant setting. *Clin Cancer Res* 2001, **7**, 1577–1581.
36. Coon JS, Marcus E, Gupta-Burt S, et al. Amplification and overexpression of topoisomerase Ha predict response to anthracycline-based therapy in locally advanced breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2002, **8**, 1061–1067.
37. Park K, Kim J, Lim S, Han S. Topoisomerase II α and HER2 amplification in breast cancers and response to preoperative doxorubicin chemotherapy. *Eur J Cancer* 2003, **39**, 631–634.
38. Van't Veer LJ, Dai H, van de Vijver MJ, et al. Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. *Nature* 2002, **415**, 530–536.